Senate Bill No. 358

	Secretary of the Senate
assed the A	ssembly August 27, 2015
	Chief Clerk of the Assembly
	Chief Clerk of the Assembly
	Chief Clerk of the Assembly
This bill w	Chief Clerk of the Assembly ——— ras received by the Governor this day

CHAPTER _____

An act to amend Section 1197.5 of the Labor Code, relating to private employment.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 358, Jackson. Conditions of employment: gender wage differential.

Existing law regulates the payment of compensation to employees by employers and prohibits an employer from conditioning employment on requiring an employee to refrain from disclosing the amount of his or her wages, signing a waiver of the right to disclose the amount of those wages, or discriminating against an employee for making such a disclosure.

Existing law generally prohibits an employer from paying an employee at wage rates less than the rates paid to employees of the opposite sex in the same establishment for equal work on jobs the performance of which requires equal skill, effort, and responsibility, and which are performed under similar working conditions. Existing law establishes exceptions to that prohibition where the payment is made pursuant to a seniority system, a merit system, a system which measures earnings by quantity or quality of production, or a differential based on any bona fide factor other than sex. Existing law makes it a misdemeanor for an employer or other person acting either individually or as an officer, agent, or employee of another person to pay or cause to be paid to any employee a wage less than the rate paid to an employee of the opposite sex as required by these provisions, or who reduces the wages of any employee in order to comply with these provisions.

This bill would revise that prohibition to eliminate the requirement that the wage differential be within the same establishment, and instead would prohibit an employer from paying any of its employees at wage rates less than those paid to employees of the opposite sex for substantially similar work, when viewed as a composite of skill, effort, and responsibility, as specified. The bill would revise and recast the exceptions to require the employer to affirmatively demonstrate that a wage differential is based upon one or more specified factors, including a seniority

__3__ SB 358

system, a merit system, a system that measures earnings by quantity or quality of production, or a bona fide factor other than sex, as specified. The bill would also require the employer to demonstrate that each factor relied upon is applied reasonably, and that the one or more factors relied upon account for the entire differential. The bill would prohibit an employer from discharging, or in any manner discriminating or retaliating against, any employee by reason of any action taken by the employee to invoke or assist in any manner the enforcement of these provisions. The bill would authorize an employee who has been discharged or discriminated or retaliated against, in the terms and conditions of his or her employment because the employee engaged in any conduct delineated in these provisions, to recover in a civil action reinstatement and reimbursement for lost wages and work benefits caused by the acts of the employer, including interest thereon, as well as appropriate equitable relief. The bill would prohibit an employer from prohibiting an employee from disclosing the employee's own wages, discussing the wages of others, inquiring about another employee's wages, or aiding or encouraging any other employee to exercise his or her rights under these provisions. The bill would also increase the duration of employer recordkeeping requirements from 2 years to 3 years. By changing the definition of a crime, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares the following: (a) In 2014, the gender wage gap in California stood at 16 cents on the dollar. A woman working full time year round earned an average of 84 cents to every dollar a man earned. This wage gap extends across almost all occupations reporting in California. This gap is far worse for women of color; Latina women in California make only 44 cents for every dollar a white male makes, the biggest gap for Latina women in the nation.

CURRENT BILL STATUS

MEASURE: S.B. No. 358

AUTHOR(S) : Jackson (Coauthors: Senators Glazer, Hall, Hancock,

Hernandez, Leno, Mitchell, and Pavley) (Coauthors: Assembly Members Chávez, Chu, Dodd, Cristina Garcia, Eduardo Garcia, Gonzalez, Roger Hernández, Rodriguez,

and Weber).

TOPIC : Conditions of employment: gender wage differential.

+LAST AMENDED DATE : 07/09/2015

TYPE OF BILL:

Active Non-Urgency

Non-Appropriations Majority Vote Required

State-Mandated Local Program

Fiscal Non-Tax Levy

LAST HIST. ACT. DATE: 09/01/2015

LAST HIST. ACTION : Enrolled and presented to the Governor at 4:30 p.m.

COMM. LOCATION : ASM APPROPRIATIONS

TITLE : An act to amend Section 1197.5 of the Labor Code,

relating to private employment.